

# The Classic "Y"



Issue No. 136 February 1997.

The Newsletter of The M.G. "Y" Type Register

ats Part I.	1997	1 996
	1001	1 330
YAs on the Register (of which 15 are le	ft-hand-drive) 687	7 865
YBs on the Register	250	253
YTs on the Register	22:	3 223
Reinbolt & Christé-bodied cars on the Re	gi ster :	3 3
Xeller-bodied cars on the Register	59	. 0
Other Special s/Composites/Hyprias on the	Register 1:	3 14
Unknown (mainly saleons) on the Register	5:	3 50
Total number of cars on the Register	1,24	2 1,208
Care identified by chase: e number:	×	
1947	s	7 65
1943	10	6 101
ere 1	28	7 281
1 950	20	2 195
1 951	. 10	1 98
1952	9	0 89
1 953	9	8 97

Newsletter Editor/Registrar: J.C.Lawson,	. Liverpool,
U.K. Spares Secretary (new spares): A.Brier,	York,
U.K. Spares Co-ordinator (second-hand spares): D.Mullen,	Liverpool,
The Australian Y-Type Register: A.L.Slattery,	Queensland,
Australia.	
The M.C. 'Y' Type Register of South Africa: D.R.Law	
Boksburg. Republic of S.	
M.G. Y Register Danmark: F.Neumann, Stob	oloa, Denoark. 😸
'The Classic Y' is published by Skyco	

## A reply to Neil Cairns' "Comparisons" article from last time....

Dear John.

The last issue of the Newsletter raised all kinds of memories for me and I occupied several wet and windy days in researching some material on "Y" types and their competitors that you are welcome to use in future issues.

Neil Cairns' article on "Comparisons" with "Y" type M.O. tars is fascinating reading and I think he would agree that his conclusions, though perfectly accurate, bear some expansion. The first thing, of course, is that when considering cars that could reasonably be described as competitive with the "Y" everything depends on what is meant by "competitive"!

Although performance is undoubtedly a factor it could be postulated that even more important to the intending purchaser is the matter of price. Anyone who has had anything to do with the motor vehicle manufacturing or selling business - and I had several years working at Vauxhall Motors many years ago - knows that only a small proportion of the sustamers know anything about performance comparisons. If there is any loubt about that point it is only necessary to remember how monumentally bailoars that were torm apart by the motoring press nevertheless sold in wast numbers.

Other comparison factors that would have been significant in the 1940s and 1950s were fuel consumption, specification and equipment, dimensions, colour ani trum availability, reputation ani status, road tax, and brand lovalty

The modest bit of research I have made into this question showschar competition varied throughout the life of the "7" and this makes the picture more complicated as competitors arrived and disappeared. I have, therefore, looked at the position firstly when the "Y" was introduced in May 1947 and again as the "YB" was being phased out in August 1953. During those six years some quite significant new models were marketed by various manufacturers, almost all the 1930s style cars were dropped and the actoring scene was distorted by the intermittent fuel shortages and the restricted availability of most models on the home market

A full appreciation of the 1945-50 situation needs a quick glance at 1939 - immediately before the 1939-45 Van-when the domestic market was

cont'd....

. .

strongly segmented by consentawer rating. The "Ten borse-bower" segment was dominant in the total market and this is eignificant because the "Y" was designed during that time and was intended for production in the 1940 model year ( i e into autumn 1939 ) with the dull but easily understood name of the "M 3 Ten".

If the War had not started when it did the little X.O salcon would have entered a highly competitive but growing segment of the market and would have had to make its way against several other "Ten" models. Leaving out the "Mines" and "Elevens" there were, according to my records, no less than thirteen distinctive "Tens" available in 1939. These, with prices in brackets, were Ford (145), Hillman (6163), Vauxhall (£166), Standard (£169), Austin (£175), Morris (£175), Jowett (£179), Singer (£195), Piat (£198), Wolseley (c£210), Sinbeam Talbot (£265), Rover (£275), Railton (£299).

As far as I know a price for the 1939/40 M.G. Ten ("Y") has never been quoted but a fair quess would be between £025 and £020 so that it undercut its closest competitor in terms of commact sportiness, the Sunbeam-Talbot Ten. After the War ended in August 1945 it took the car injustry some time to get back into production and M.G. put all its efforts into turning but from December 1945 the "TO" 2-sector as this had considerable export totential. By the time the "Y" emerged in Tune 1947, cars which were initially its competitors were well on the way to being phased but.

At that time the forward planning energies of the Nuffield Josep were devoted to new Morris and Volselev models - the success of the Minor vindicating this polity - and other parts of the group like M.G. and Rilev had to soliter on with their more old-fashioned and specialist appeal models

Using "The Motor Guide to 1945-50 Models" as a base. TABLE I shows the position from mid-1947 to late-1951 when the "Y" was replaced by the "YB". The prices quoted are averages calculated by "The Motor" and, for comparison purposes. I have assumed the competitive boundary is the "Y" price plue/minus £100. It is unfortunate that the Guide does not give acceleration figures - these could be obtained from "The Motor" and "The Autocar" road tests of the period - but it does give bhp and dimensions including weight which will give some item of potential performance

As Neil rightly points but in his article poor power-weight ratios were often disquised by low year ratios. In other cases adequate performance was only extracted at the excense of flimmy bodywork. Ford, Vauxhall, Hilman and Standard, or by fitting multiple carburettors to an unnecessarily large engine in a heavy frame and incurring considerable petrol thirst as a result. Volseley being a good example of the latter.

In the early years of the "Y" there was quite a lot of price competition but a glance at the table suggests that the "Y" was considerably different in character to almost all of them. It has to be remembered that in the late 1940s new cars were very difficult to buy on the home market and most customers either had to wait a long time or pay an

under-the-counter premium. Price was still important bur it was in a market subject to artificial restriction. From memory, several of the cars in the table had better acceleration and hill-olimbing ability than the "Y" but this was usually achieved at the expense of heavier fuel consumption or indifferent handling or both. It will be noticed that several of the competitors were larger cars that could accommodate five people and this may have been important to some buyers.

It is interesting to note that the Sunbeam-Taibot Ten. also a compact four-seater sports saloen and despite its diserable Hillman 1185 oc side-valve engine, probably the nearest competitor to a 1939/40 "Y" had, by 1947, been priced at an average of 1799 - well above the "Y" - and was phased out in June 1948.

Production figures for the "?" have been putlished before so do not need repeating. They do suggest, however, that the "?" was a bit slow to sell in 1947 and 1948 when there was quite a lot of commetition about. Another reason may have been factory bottleneds or quality problems. Some of the articles on the "?" give hints that the "?" had its share of problems including starter motor and dynamo streliability and a tendency to overheat. No doubt some readers will be able to amplify the point.

By 1950, one of the best years for "Y" sales, the competition had dwindled to fust seven using by priteria. These were the Austin A40 and A70, the Vauxoall "E" Velow and "E" Vevent, the Mornis Outprd, the Hillman Mink and the Ford Consul. All of these were post-war designed bodies but, with the exception of the Consul, initially had pre-war type engines.

Neil puts his finger on it when he refers to the surprisingly sluggish performance of some apparently highly competitive new-style vehicles. The fact is that motor maturacturers often used 'and still use's fancy new body to dress up an againg chasses and engine they are not ready to replace, knowing full well that most customers are unaware of technical aspects of performance and buy the car on its looks. The converse does not apply, of course, and no manufacturer that I recall has ever found success by using an old-fashioned body to clothe modern mechanicals.

It is almost cartain that Notifield and M.C. were well aware that the days of the "Y" were subbered and that customers were demanding so-called modern shapes while being less impressed by walnut canelling. Leather upholstery and substine roofs. More recently, of tourse, those deatures have become popular once again. With the organization numbers near ready to introduce \*\*LEZA Magnette the only answer was, cather like it did later on with the ID/TP, to tobble up some modifications to the existing "Y".

The trouble with the "??" was partly that the changes were all under the skin and, unlike the "??" for example, were insufficiently different from the old model to revive elackening sales. So, if we look at Table 2 it can be seen that the rest of the motoring world had moved on and some very interesting alternatives to the "?2" were available to anyone with £923 (the average price of the "YB") plus/minus £150 to spend.

The "YB" was seriously uncompetitive by 1952.53 notwithstanding the nostalgic reports in the relatively small circulation motoring press; an analysis of the age profile of first time "YS" purchasers in those years would, at a guess, show a predominantly middle-aged, middle class and traditional group. Even if a prospective "YB" owner turned up his (not many 'hers' I'll be bound) nose at Morris Six, a Singer SX1500 or a Vouxhall "E" Velox, there were some very temoting alternatives like a Jowett Javelin, a Citroen Light Fifteen or a Riley 1% litre

The "Y" may have filled for several years a small and fectining niche market for a compact, well-finished and deliberately old-style car with moderately good performance but, as a President of General Motors once said. "The market is governed by fashion not function." Thus by 1951 the traditionalists - and especially their wives - were almost certainly cooling off a car that may have attracted nostalgia but no longer impressed the neighbours. Time had taught ut on the "YB" and salous car buyers must have given X G. the cold shoulder in a major way until the Magnette appeared and, despite means from the traditionalists, sold like hot cakes.

Not for a moment do I want to appear nit-picky or to do anything but admire Meil Cairns' excellent analysis, but by "Motor" information is that the Morris Oxford S2 and Cowley, and the Hillman Minx Mr. 3, were not contemporary with the "Y" or "YB".

Tables 1 & 2 are on pages 6 & 7.

The state of the s

Trevor. J. Austin,

#### Stats Part II.

Number of cars in each country:	(35 countries)	(33 in 1996)
	1997	1996
England	574	569
Australia	316	313
U. S. A.	121	118
Republic of South Africa	46	47
Scotland	34	31
Wales	25	18
Switzerland	19	12
Eire	16	16
Canada	15	15
New Zealand	11	11
Netherlands	10	9
Northern Ireland	9	9
Denmark	7	5
Singapore	5	5
Belgium	4	4
Germany	4	4 2 3
Channel Islands	3	3

cont'd on page 8....

Table 1. Competition for M.G. 'Y' Type, 1947 - 1951.

Comments	Until Oct. 1947		Until July 1948	Until Oct. 1948	Until June 1949	Until Feb. 1951	16.4	Until Mar. 1949	Until Oct. 1948	From Oct. 1948	From Dec. 1949	From Oct. 1950	May 1947-Dec 1951	Until Feb. 1948	Until Oct. 1948	Until Feb. 1948	Aug. 1951 - Mar 1952	Until June 1949
Bdui	58:	•	26	21	1	٠	56	24	- 8	3	33	56	29	32		38	35	_
Max. Speed	e3 inph	70 mph	70 mph	4dui 09		82 mph	72 mph	75 mph	72 mph	71 mph	4dui 09	73 inph	71 mph	65 mph	90 mph	70 mph	ndui 09	• ,
Width	5, 7,,	5. 1	5, 3,,	5' 7"	4,10.,	2, 6,,	5, 2,,	5, 7"	5' 7"	5, 5,	5, 2"	5.43	4, 11,	5, 2,,	5, 7"	5' 3"	5, 7,,	5, 5,,
1.cngth	14, 3,,	12, 6,,	13.9	13.7"	13, 0	13, 7"	13, 8,,	14, 3,,	14, 4,,	13.6"	13, 1.,	13.8	13, 5,,	13, 7	14,4"	(4, 4,,	14, 4,,	13, 6,,
Weight (cwt)	241/,	61	221/4	26	1,61	25	201/4	261/2	271/2	193/4	17,1	20	20	25	28	56	161	23
dyg	04	40	49	44	37	. 67	. 54	. 67	55	: <del>-</del>	371/2	11	46	•	85	,	35	43
Engine	1535cc SV4	1200cc OHV4	1776cc SV4	1548cc O11V4	1193cc OHC4	2199cc OHV4		2199cc O11V4	1818cc OHV6	1476cc SV4		1508cc OHV4	1250cc OHV4	1389cc O11V4	2321cc OIIV6	1496cc OHV4	1442cc OHV4	1525cc ÖHC4
Model	Austin 12 h.p.	Austin A40 Devon	Standard 14 h.p.	Wolselev 12/48	Singer Super 10 h.p.	Austin A70 Hampshire	Vauxhall 'L' Velox	Austin 16 h.p.	Wolselev 14/56	Morris Oxford 'MO'	Hillman Minx IV/V	Ford Consul	M.G. 'Y'	Rover 10 h.p.	Wolselev 18/85	Rover 12 h.p.	Vauxhall 'F.' Wyvern	Singer Super 12 h.p.
Price		C587	F588	F 598	£612	2093	189	5645	649 1	£651	r654	1293	2293	1693	1716	6753	5923	1767

Table 2. Competition for M.G. 'YB' Type, 1952 - 1953.

Comments	From Jan. 1953		From Mar. 1952	To Jan. 1953	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		Dec. 1951-Aug. 1953	From Oct. 1952	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	To Oct. 1952	****
adui	20	200	52	26	35	21	28	29	30	29	25	28
Max. Speed	75 mph	70 mph	78 mph	75 mph	75 mph	hdui 08	79 mph	71 mph	72 mph	71 mph	71 mph	81 mph
Width	5, 6,,	2, 6,,	5.6"	5, 6,,	5'5"	5, 10"	2, 0,,	4, 11,		5,3,	5, 10,,	5' 3"
ๆเฮ็นจา	13, 11,,	14, 0,,	+ .+.	14' 2"	14, 7,,	13, 10,,	14, 0,,	13, 8,,	14, 5"	14' 8"	14, 6,,	14, 11,
Weight (cwt)	241/4	7.5	21,1	23	21	241/2	61	201/2	213/4	231/4	25	241/4
dila	89	70	9	51	98	. 67	20	46	46	8	58	54
Engine	2088cc OHV4	2215cc OHV6	2262cc OHV6	1476cc OHC4	1911cc O11V4	2199cc OHV4	1486cc OHV4	1250cc OIIV4	1250cc OIIV4	1497cc OHC4	2267cc SV4	1496cc OIIV4
Model	Standard Vanguard II	Morris Six	Vauxhall 'E' Velox	Wolseley 4/50	Citroën Light 15	Austin A70 Hereford	Jowett Javelin	M.G. 'YB'	Wolseley 4/44	Singer SM 1500	Humber Hawk IV	Riley 17, litre
	1		1	1	1	111	100	1	1	2.0	k:	11 10

#### Register News

Last time I brought news of Y/5190 EXLU, thought to have been owned by a famous fashion designer. Owner Tom Cox recently wrote to me again, however, to say that we had got the wrong Ann(e) Klein. The first owner of the car was reasonably famous though, but as a supplier, with her husband, through their business Universal Tire of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, of tyres for antique cars. Of more interest, perhaps, is that Mrs. Klein says that the car was two-tone brown when purchased from dealers J. S. Inskip, in New York. This led me to look up details of the several two-tone brown Ys I have heard about or seen over the years, for, although we maintain that this was not an original, ex-factory, colour option, the following cars do exist:

In 1982 I saw a two-tone brown 1951 Y in California. No further details are known, as to chassis number etc., but the colour split was in the manner of that of the factory-supplied Almond Green/Elizabeth Grey cars, i.e., wings and running boards dark brown, remainder of body light brown (possibly Sun Bronze metallic). Split the same way was "LXV342", which took part in the first Regency Run in the mid-1980s. Again, no chassis number etc. details are held, and the main body of this car seems to have been more of a beige colour than Sun Bronze. Two further two-tone brown cars we may be able to discount: Y/6554 ("FRV272"), last heard of in Hampshire, was possibly twotone brown in colour; and Y/5393 ("UMG226"), owned by a U.S. serviceman at Greenham Common, was more properly brown/cream. I venture to suggest that, as far as the U.S. cars go anyway, importers such as Inskip resprayed some cars either to individual customer order or to enhance the possibility of a quick sale (two-tone cars were very much in vogue in North America at this time). Another feature of Y/5190 EXLU is that it has a set of tools made by "Shelley" in England. Does anyone know whether this make of tool was original to the Y?

I had hoped to bring you a photo of Y/5190 EXLU this time but, as you'll gather, I am a bit short of space this month. Perhaps next time. I have also heard that the very first Y/T ever built, Y/T/EX(U) 1922, is presently in Switzerland, having been delivered to the U.S.A. originally. It has body number 19523/? and, although said to be in good condition mechanically, its exterior and interior finish seem no longer to be original. Maybe I'll have more news on this next time.

### Stats Part III!

Two cars each in Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Portugal, India and Norway.

One car each in Madeira, Japan, Zimbabwe. Cyprus, Hong Kong, Bangladesh, Macau, Namibia, Austria, Finland, France, Uruguay and Sweden.

(Uruguay and Sweden are new entries for 1997. Germany (2 in 1996) has moved up the table, now having 4 cars).